

October 17, 2018 Solano Subbasin GSA Collaborative
1-3pm | SCWA Office | 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 203 | Vacaville,
Coordinating Agreement 95688



In attendance

1. Chris Lee - Solano GSA
2. Roland Sanford - Solano County Water Agency
3. Cary Keaten - Solano Irrigation District
4. Justen Cole - City of Vacaville
5. Bonnie Robison - City of Vacaville
6. Genevieve Taylor - Ag Innovations
7. Suzannah Sosman - Ag Innovations
8. Joseph McIntyre - Ag Innovations

Agenda

1. Introductions and Updates
2. GSP Presentations to GSAs-Debrief
3. Update on Communications Plan
4. Governance-Path Forward
 - a. Delta-Mendota Example
 - b. Draft Charter
5. Next Meeting-November 15th 1:00-3:00

Meeting Highlights

1. The group debriefed recent presentations to the Solano GSA Board and the Solano Irrigation District GSA Board. Collaborative members were impressed with how engaged the boards were, especially in the water data and stakeholder engagement process. A next step in stakeholder engagement with the GSA boards and public is creating simple talking points that explain the GSP development process.
2. Ag Innovations, gave an update on the first communications post that went out to the Solano Subbasin GSP email list on October 11. There are 328 current subscribers to the email list, and the first post received a 39.2% open rate (compared to 20.5% industry average).
 - a. Collaborative members agreed that future posts should focus on what is happening within the Solano Subbasin specifically to keep people engaged, and that each post should explore the next big area of work happening on the project in ways that the public can understand and relate to. Members decided to wait until January, once the Solano Subbasin GSP website is live, to put out the next outreach post.
3. Ag Innovations asked Collaborative members to consider what kind of governance document the group might need to move forward at this time. Members agreed to create a set of Collaborative GSA Working Agreements that would include some form of dispute resolution. The group discussed how an impasse could impact the ability to create one GSP and committed to exploring ways to avoid this outcome. It is the continued collective interest of the group to work to create one GSP.